TRANSLATION PATENT COOPERATION TREATY POT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 63272			FOR FURTHER ACTION Se		See Form PCT/IPEA/416					
International application No. PCT/EP2004/053362			International filing date (da. 09.12.2004	y/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 16.12.2003					
	onal Patent Classification	on (IPC) or nation	nal classification and IPC							
Applican										
1.	1. This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.									
2.	This REPORT consists	of a total of 4	1	sheets, including	g this cover sheet.					
3.	This report is also acco	ompanied by AN	NEXES, comprising:							
	a. (sent to the	applicant and to	o the International Bureau)	a total of	sheets, as follows:					
	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).									
	sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.									
		International B	ureau only) a total of (indica	ate type and number	r of electronic carrier(s))					
					_ , containing a sequence listing and/or tables					
			readable form only, as indicative Instructions).	ated in the Supple	emental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see					
4.	This report contains in	dications relating	g to the following items:							
	Box No. I	Basis of the re	eport							
	Box No. II	Priority								
	Box No. III	Non-establish	nment of opinion with regard	to novelty, invent	ive step and industrial applicability					
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity	of invention							
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement									
	Box No. VI	Certain docur	ments cited							
	Box No. VII	Certain defec	ts in the international applic	ation						
	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application									
Date of submission of the demand				of completion of the	is report					
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP			Autho	orized officer						
Facsimile No			Telen	hone No						

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/053362

Box	k No. I	o. I Basis of the report						
1.		With regard to the language, this report is based on the international application indicated under this item.	n the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise					
		This report is based on translations from the original language into the followhich is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of: international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)) publication of the international application (Rule 12.4)	wing language,					
		international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3)						
2.	rece	th regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the eiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to report): the international application as originally filed/furnished the description:						
		pages 1-4	as originally filed/furnished					
		pages* received by the	is Authority on					
		pages* received by the	is Authority on					
	\boxtimes	the claims:						
		nos. 1-6	as originally filed/furnished					
		nos.* as	amended (together with any statement) under Article 19					
		nos.* received by th	is Authority on					
		nos.* received by th	is Authority on					
	\boxtimes	the drawings:						
		sheets _ 1/2,2/2	as originally filed/furnished					
		sheets* received by th	is Authority on					
		sheets* received by th	is Authority on					
		a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) – see Supplemental Box Relati	ing to Sequence Listing.					
3.	Ш	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:						
		the description, pages						
		the claims, nos.						
		the drawings, sheets/figs	the drawings, sheets/figs					
		the sequence listing (specify):						
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):						
4.		This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated						
		the description, pages						
		the claims, nos.						
		the drawings, sheets/figs	·					
		the sequence listing (specify):						
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):						
*	If ite	f item 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."						

International application No.					
PCT/EP2004/053362					

Вох			ticle 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; porting such statement	
1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-6	YES
		Claims		NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES
		Claims	1-6	NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-6	YES
		Claims		NO
2.	Citations and explanations (Rule 7	70.7)		

- 1. Reference is made to the following document:
 - D1: POPKIN SOFTWARE & SYSTEMS, INC: "System Architect User Guide" SYSTEM ARCHITECT DOCUMENTATION PART NO. 2002-2 PUBLICATION NO. 9.3209, [Online] 28 July 2003 (2003-07-28), pages C1, C2, 1-111, 1.1-1.8, 7.1-7.15, XP002291588 USA, downloaded from internet:

 URL:http://www.popkin.com/customers/customer_service_center/downloads/manuals/UserGuide.pdf>
 [downloaded 2004-08-06]
- 2. The present application fails to meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(1), since the subject matter of claim 1 does not involve an inventive step as defined by PCT Article 33(3).
- 2.1 D1 is considered to be the prior art closest to the subject matter of claim 1 and, in so far as the technical features of said claim can be identified, it discloses the following features (the references that apply to said document and the terminology used therein are indicated between parentheses).

A UML-model-based requirements traceability method (using the UML modelling tool System Architect for tracking requirements during the design life cycle of a project) (pages 1.1, 7.1), characterised in that

Box No. V

during modelling, a graphic interface is used when an element of a model is created, a requirement is placed on said element in said interface and the upstream requirement that initiated the creation of said element is systematically noted thereon (a built-in tracking facility enables requirement-type definitions to be assigned to symbols in models and to be cross-referenced with them) (pages 7.2, 7.10, 7.13; figures 7.1, 7.2).

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;

- 2.2 The subject matter of claim 1 therefore differs from the method disclosed by D1 in that: a requirement is immediately placed on said element.
- 2.3 However, linking the requirement to an element of the model when the element is created cannot be considered to involve an inventive step, since said feature is merely one of several obvious options that a person skilled in the art might select, depending on each particular case.
- 2.4 Consequently, the subject matter of claim 1 does not involve an inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)).
- 2.5 With regard to dependent claims 2 to 6, either the additional features contained therein are anticipated by D1, or the inclusion of said features would be obvious to a person skilled in the art, taking into account his or her general knowledge or the other documents cited in the international search report. Consequently, the subject matter of claims 2 to 6 is either not novel (PCT Article 33(2)) or not inventive (PCT Article 33(3)).

Andrea Carciofi (Examiner)